WRIT 101: College Composition

Instructor Information

- Instructor: Natalie Peeterse
- Mailbox: LA 133
- Office: Online
- Office Hours: by appointment
- Email: natalie.peeterse@mso.umt.edu

Course Description

In College Writing 101, you will engage in a study of your place in Montana. This course is designed to challenge you to learn the skills you'll need to excel as a scholar at the University of Montana. Throughout the semester you will learn to engage rhetorical habits of mind in order to write confident, graceful, research-based academic essays that explore topics vital to your life.

The purpose of WRIT 101 is to help you develop your abilities as a writer and reader of texts to encourage you to think critically and communicate effectively in the world. I'll ask you to inquire into different personal, academic, and civic contexts and compose and read different genres. I'll also help you develop flexible reading, writing, and research processes to help you develop as a college writer. Much of your work will involve different kinds of collaboration, including small group workshops and. Because writing development is an important process that takes place over time and across different writing situations, all WRIT 101 classes use portfolio evaluation as the primary means to assess your work in class.

Course Texts

- Andrea Lunsford, et al, Everyone’s An Author
- Materials in Moodle

Major Inquiry Projects

Expect to write and revise three different projects and one reflective introduction over the course of this class, in addition to other informal writing in and out of class. I will give you a detailed assignment sheet as we begin each of these major assignments.

1. Where You Live and What You Live For: Writing the Lifeplace Essay
2. Putting in Your Oar: Writing the Op-Ed
3. Visual Life Place Essay
4. Listen, Then Tell Us What You Heard: Writing the Personal Academic Essay
5. Portfolio and Process Report

Your three major inquiry projects will give you the opportunity to compose in a range of genres for a range of academic and civic situations. You’ll have opportunities to use observations and experience as evidence, as well as learn strategies for composing effective arguments and conducting academic research.

You will have the chance to develop all of your major projects through a process of inquiry and drafting. You’ll compose papers in and out of class, alone and with your small group. For some of your writings, I’ll ask you to bring enough draft copies to share with your group or ask you to email your papers to group members for an online workshop. All inquiry projects must be completed for you to pass the course. I will respond to these projects with written comments focused on suggestions for revision, but I will also mark them using a check system to help you know where you stand on a specific project.

Common Policies and Procedures

General Class Expectations

- All reading assignments will be completed by the assigned date.
- All writing assignments must be turned in on time.
- Actively, vocally, and appropriately participate in class discussions.
- Any individual assignments (including requests for conferences) will be completed by the assigned date

Grading

I encourage you to talk with me at any time to better understand my comments or to discuss your overall progress and success in the class.

1. Participation: 35%. This includes discussion inside of the weekly units in Moodle.
2. Multimedia visual essay: 15%. This essay will be graded separately from your other three, and as you can see it comprises a larger portion of your grade. It is imperative that you complete this essay to the best of your ability.
3. Final portfolio: 50%. Your portfolio includes the final drafts of your three inquiry projects along with a process report detailing your revisions. Students who do not turn their work in for peer and professor review cannot earn a passing grade on the portfolio, since it represents a process undertaken throughout the course.
Grading Policy
Students enrolled in WRIT 101 are graded by the traditional letter grade A, B, C, D, F or are given NC for no credit. The NC grade does not affect grade point average. It is reserved for students who have worked unusually hard, attended class regularly and completed all assignments but whose skills are not at a passing level at the end of the semester.

Participation
Participation includes logging into Moodle prepared and on time, taking part in class discussions (this involves “listening”/reading as well as writing/“speaking”), asking questions, contributing your knowledge and insights in whatever form is appropriate, and striving to make all your contributions excellent. It also includes doing the required reading and writing for each class. Note: Please see Participation Grade Descriptors for more information, and also see the participation rubric located in Moodle on our home page. You will receive weekly participation grades based on the discussion forums in our Moodle classroom.

Late Work
Participation includes logging into Moodle prepared and on time, taking part in class discussions (this involves “listening”/reading as well as writing/“speaking”), asking questions, contributing your knowledge and insights in whatever form is appropriate, and striving to make all your contributions excellent. It also includes doing the required reading and writing for each class. Note: Please see Participation Grade Descriptors for more information, and also see the participation rubric located in Moodle on our home page and under “Resources”. You will receive weekly participation grades based on the discussion forums in our Moodle classroom.

Office Hours
Office hours provide us with an opportunity to talk one on one. I am available every weekday from 9-10am via Skype. I will also be logged into the classroom at that time. We can also connect via telephone. In order to make a telephone appointment, send me your number, the best time to talk, and the topic you would like to speak about.

Classroom Community
Community is important in a small workshop class; we will work together to create an environment that promotes collaborative learning and effective, thoughtful discussion. The student conduct code asks students to “respect the rights, privileges, and dignity of others” – these are important (even sustainable) ways to engage in class discussion, particularly when the topic might be provocative.

Academic Conduct
You must abide by the rules for academic conduct described in the Student Conduct Code. If you have any questions about when and how to avoid academic dishonesty, particularly plagiarism, please review the Conduct Code and talk with your instructor. The Council of Writing Program Administrators describes plagiarism as follows: “plagiarism occurs when a writer deliberately uses someone else’s language, ideas or other original (not common-knowledge) material without acknowledging its source.” Academic honesty is highly valued in the University community and acts of plagiarism will not be tolerated.

Students with Disabilities
Qualified students with disabilities will receive appropriate accommodations in this course. Please speak with me privately after class or in my office. Please be prepared to provide a letter from your DSS Coordinator.

---------------------------------
Portfolio Letter Grade Descriptors for WRIT 101

A
Superior portfolios will demonstrate initiative and rhetorical sophistication that go beyond the requirements. A portfolio at this level is composed of well-edited texts representing different writing situations and genres that consistently show a clear, connected sense of audience, purpose and development. The writer is able to analyze his or her own writing, reflect on it, and revise accordingly. The portfolio takes risks that work.

B
Strong portfolios meet their rhetorical goals in terms of purpose, genre, and writing situation without need for further major revisions of purpose, evidence, audience, or style/mechanics. The writer is able to reflect on his or her own writing and make some choices about revision. The writer takes risks, although they may not all be successful.

C
Consistent portfolios meet the basic requirements, yet the writing would benefit from further revisions of purpose, evidence, audience, or writing style/mechanics (or some combination) and a stronger understanding of rhetorical decision-making involved in different writing situations and genres. The writer composes across tasks at varying levels of success with some superficial revision. The writer has taken some risks in writing and exhibits some style.

D
Weak portfolios do not fully meet the basic evaluative standards. Most texts are brief and underdeveloped. These texts show a composing process that is not yet elaborated or reflective of rhetorical understanding related to composing in different genres and for a range of writing situations. Texts generally require extensive revisions to purpose, development, audience, and/or style and mechanics.

F
Unacceptable portfolios exhibit pervasive problems with purpose, development, audience, or style/mechanics that interfere with meaning and readers’ understanding. Unacceptable portfolios are often incomplete. A portfolio will also earn an F if it does not represent the writer’s original work.
Participation Letter Grade Descriptors for WRIT 101

A
Superior participation shows initiative and excellence in written and verbal work. The student helps to create more effective discussions and workshops through his/her verbal, electronic, and written contributions. Reading and writing assignments are always completed on time and with attention to detail. In workshop or conferences, suggestions to group members are tactful, thorough, specific, and often provide other student writers with a new perspective or insight.

B
Strong participation demonstrates active engagement in written and verbal work. The student plays an active role in the classroom but does not always add new insight to the discussion at hand. Reading and writing assignments are always completed on time and with attention to detail. In workshop or conferences, suggestions to group members are tactful, specific, and helpful.

C
Satisfactory participation demonstrates consistent, satisfactory written and verbal work. Overall, the student is prepared for class, completes assigned readings and writings, and contributes to small group workshops and large class discussions. Reading and writing assignments are completed on time. In workshop or conferences, suggestions to group members are tactful and prompt, but could benefit from more attentive reading and/or specific detail when giving comments.

D
Weak participation demonstrates inconsistent written and verbal work. The student may be late to class, unprepared for class, and may contribute infrequently or unproductively to classroom discussions or small group workshops. Reading and writing assignments are not turned in or are insufficient. In workshops or conferences, suggestions to group members may be missing, disrespectful, or far too brief and general to be of help.

F
Unacceptable participation shows ineffectual written and verbal work. The student may be excessively late to class, regularly unprepared, and not able to contribute to classroom discussions or small group workshops. This student may be disruptive in class. Reading and writing assignments are regularly not turned in or are insufficient. In workshops or conferences, the student has a pattern of missing, being completely unprepared, or being disruptive.
Composition Program Plagiarism Policy for WRIT 101/201

According to the University of Montana Student Conduct Code, plagiarism is “representing another person’s words, ideas, data, or materials as one's own.” The Composition program recognizes that plagiarism is a serious academic offense, but also understands that some misuse of information can occur in the process of learning information literacy skills. Therefore, if student writing appears to have been plagiarized, in full or in part, intentionally or unintentionally, or due to poor citation, the following will procedure will take place:

- The student will be made aware of areas in the text that are not properly integrated or cited.
- The student will receive no credit on the paper; it is up to him/her to prove that he/she turned in original work.
- The student will be asked to provide the teacher with copies of the research she/he used in writing the paper.
- The student and teacher will meet to discuss research integration.
- *If the student cannot provide documentation of her/his research, further disciplinary action will be taken.*

In the case that the student is unable to provide evidence of his/her original work or in the case that the teacher has evidence that the student has repeatedly plagiarized his/her work, the teacher will consult with the Director of Composition for direction with further disciplinary action.

In the case of blatant or egregious offenses, or in the case of repeated plagiarism, the Director of Composition will work with the Dean of Students to determine further disciplinary action.

*Students should review the Student Conduct Code so that they understand their rights in academic disciplinary situations. The Student Conduct Code can be found here: [http://www.umt.edu/vpsa/policies/student_conduct.php](http://www.umt.edu/vpsa/policies/student_conduct.php)*