This is a graduate-level course that will examine the political, cultural, and socioeconomic history of the Russian lands over more than a thousand years, from the earliest archaeological record through the fall of the Romanov dynasty.

Topics to be examined include: the establishment of the first Russian state at Kiev; the role of Orthodox Christianity in shaping Russian culture; the Mongol invasions and the emergence of Muscovy as the preeminent Russian principality; Peter the Great’s efforts to modernize and remake Muscovite Russia; the establishment of the imperial system and its maintenance under Peter’s successors; noble culture and peasant culture in the pre-Emancipation countryside; intellectual debates on the direction of Russian society; the Russian empire as a multi-confessional and multi-ethnic polity; the Great Reforms of the 1860s, peasant emancipation, and the transformation of Russian society; autocratic culture and the limits of reformability; the rise of radical opposition to the tsarist regime; “dual polarization” and the social crisis of late imperial Russia.

In addition, we will explore three major themes that run across the semester. What was the Russian autocratic system; how did it define the relationship between individuals, the state, and society; and how did men and women of different classes and estates seek to adapt, support, or challenge this system and relationship over the course of the Muscovite and imperial periods? In focusing on these questions, we will examine also the articulation of opposition to autocratic rule. What alternative visions of political, social, and/or economic organization did these opponents of autocracy put forward?

Required texts:
The following texts are available for purchase at the Bookstore.

- Olga Semyonova Tian-Shanskaia, Village Life in Late Tsarist Russia (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1993)
Recommended text:
This text is an optional accompaniment to the material we will cover in lecture. There are many good textbook surveys of Russian history; this is as good as any and has the added advantage of affordability. Copies are available for purchase at the Bookstore.

Learning outcomes:
1) An overview of the general narrative and major themes in Russian history; 2) A familiarity with key questions in the historiography of imperial Russia; 3) Enhancement of critical reading and analytical writing skills

Assignments:
Graduate students taking HSTR 595 will complete all readings and attend all course meetings for HSTR 357. In addition, they will meet periodically with the instructor to discuss additional readings and outside texts. Students in HSTR 595 will compile a bibliography on a topic of their choice related to the border policy of the Russian empire and complete, by semester’s end, a 10-15 pp research paper (based on primary and secondary sources) on a topic of their choosing, selected in consultation with the instructor.

Mandatory things:
- All students must practice academic honesty. Academic misconduct is subject to an academic penalty by the course instructor and/or a disciplinary sanction by the University. All students need to be familiar with the Student Conduct Code. (http://www.umt.edu/student-affairs/dean-of-students/)
- Plagiarism is defined as misrepresenting another’s work, words, or ideas as one’s own. Be aware that submitting plagiarized work will result in automatic failure of the course.
- Students with documented disabilities will receive reasonable modifications in this course. Your responsibilities are to request them from me with sufficient advance notice, and to be prepared to provide verification of disability and its impact from Disability Services for Students. Please speak with me after class or during my office hours to discuss the details. For more information, visit the Disability Services for Students website. (http://www.umt.edu/dss)
- This course is offered for a traditional letter grade or Credit/No Credit. Please be advised that the deadline for changing your grading option is the fifteenth day of the semester. I will not sign requests for a grading option change thereafter.
Schedule of Lectures and Readings

WEEK 1

M, Aug 27: Introduction to the Course
- Ascher, *Russia*, 1-15

W, Aug 29: The “Road from the Varangians to the Greeks”
- Questions to consider: The Primary Chronicle was written by numerous Orthodox clergymen over many years and was completed at Kiev, c. 1113. What can we say about the style in which the chronicles were written? How do the authors interpret and make sense of the historical events they describe? How do the chroniclers understand historical causation (i.e., what makes history happen)?

F, Aug 31: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: The Baptism of Rus’
- Questions to consider: Why did Vladimir choose to adopt Orthodoxy Christianity for himself and his subjects? What did the Christian faith mean to Vladimir Monomakh and how did he seek to reflect his faith in his life’s works? What do this week’s texts tell us about the importance of Orthodox Christianity in early Russian culture?

WEEK 2

M, Sept 3: LABOR DAY; NO CLASS

W, Sept 5: Kievan Culture and Society
- Questions to consider: What sort of values are reflected in these earliest of Russian law codes? What can we learn about Kievan culture, moral codes, behavioral norms, and social structures from these documents? How would you characterize Kievan politics and society based on these texts?

F, Sept 7: The Coming of the Mongols and the Rise of Moscow
- Ascher, *Russia*, 15-22
• Questions to consider: How do the Russian chroniclers make sense of the Mongol invasion? What does Halperin mean by the “ideology of silence”? What were the immediate and long-term consequences of Mongol rule over the Russian lands?

WEEK 3
M, Sept 10: “Gathering in the Russian Lands”: Ivan the Great and the Rise of Muscovy
• Ascher, *Russia*, 23-36

W, Sept 12: Ivan the Terrible and the Problem of Autocratic Misrule
• Ascher, *Russia*, 36-46

F, Sept 14: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: Understanding Autocracy in Muscovy
• Questions to consider: What does Kollman mean when she describes the Muscovite autocracy as a “façade”? What was the nature of the political relationship between the grand prince (later, tsar) and his boyars? How did Ivan IV deviate from the accepted (albeit, unwritten) norms of political culture in Muscovy? How are we to make sense of Ivan IV’s erratic behavior and policies?

WEEK 4
M, Sept 17: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: Elite Culture in Muscovy
• MOODLE: Letters to stol’nik Andrei Il’ich Bezobrazov from his Wife (1687); Adam Olearius on Food and Dining (1630s), in Daniel H. Kaiser and Gary Marker, *Reinterpreting Russian History: Readings, 860-1860s* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1994), 213-17
• MOODLE: Excerpts from the *Domostroi* (Book of Household Management, mid-16th c)
Questions to consider: What political, social, and cultural roles did the boyar elite play in the Muscovite system? What power, if any, did elite women wield in Muscovite culture and politics? Why were kinship networks and family dynamics so important in Muscovy? What do these sources tell us about gender roles, household structure and management, and patterns of family life in Muscovy?

W, Sept 19: The Seventeenth Century: Schism & Enserfment
- Ascher, *Russia*, 47-61
- Questions to consider: What does the gradual process of enserfment tell us about political and social structures in Muscovy? How can the terms of enserfment be seen as evidence of “collaborative autocracy”?

F, Sept 21: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: Muscovite Lives
- Questions to consider: Two very different characters emerge in the readings for today. The story of Yuliania’s life is one of the first pieces of biographical literature to appear in Russian. Avvakum’s is the oldest autobiography in Russian literature. Borrowing from many literary genres (hagiography, biblical scripture, historical chronicles), both texts present their subjects as positive heroes worthy of emulation by virtue of their holy lives. What Christian qualities do these figures embody? How do both figures make sense of the suffering and trials they have endured for the faith? What common traits do they share, what differences do you detect, and why?

WEEK 5
M, Sept 24: Peter the Great and the Muscovite Legacy
- Ascher, *Russia*, 61-71

W, Sept 26: The Petrine Transformation

F, Sept 28: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: Peter as Reformer or Revolutionary?
- Questions to consider: Peter’s reforms are often regarded as Russia’s “westernization.” What sorts of ideas and innovations did Peter seek to borrow from western Europe and what, very pointedly, did he choose not to introduce? Is “westernization” a suitable conceptual framework to describe the Petrine reforms?
What can we say about the tempo and nature of Petrine reform? How did Peter’s reforms alter the principles of collaborative autocracy inherited from his predecessors? How did the size and scope of the state change under Peter? Is the Petrine era best characterized as one of reform or revolution?

WEEK 6
M, Oct 1: Great Catherine: Enlightenment and Autocracy
- Ascher, *Russia*, 72-84
- Questions to consider: Catherine discovered that implementing Enlightenment principles was far trickier than professing them. What enlightenment influences do we see in Catherine’s Instructions? What limitations did Catherine’s commitment to autocratic rule impose on the notion of enlightenment? Why did the Legislative Commission fail?

W, Oct 3: Enlightenment and Empire: Imperial Expansion in the 18th Century
- Questions to consider: What principles and assumptions underscored Russian imperial expansion in the eighteenth century? How and to what extent did Catherine attempt to apply enlightenment principles to the administration of a growing empire? What tensions (indeed, contradictions) do you see between these principles and the reality of territorial expansion?

F, Oct 5: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: The Radishchev Affair and the Limitations of Enlightened Autocracy
- Questions to consider: According to Radishchev, what were the main problems facing Russia? How can they be overcome? What did the empress find so troubling (and dangerous) about Radishchev’s book? Why did she think one Radishchev worse than a thousand Pugachevs? What does Catherine’s response tell us about the tensions between enlightenment thought and autocratic power? Was Catherine an enlightened autocrat?
WEEK 7

M, Oct 8: Peasant Life in Rural Russia

- Questions to consider: How was Russian serfdom similar to and different from slavery in the Americas? What makes these systems of unfree labor alike or unlike? How much freedom, if any, did peasants really possess? How did peasant life change over the eighteenth century?

W, Oct 10: Noble Life and Culture

- Questions to consider: How did noble life and the mentality of the noble class change as a result of the Petrine revolution? Why did Russian nobles consider the Catherinean period the “golden age” of the Russian nobility? How did Catherine view the relationship between the autocrat and his (or her) nobles? To what extent were the values and outlooks of 18th-c. Russian noblemen shaped by the education they received?

F, Oct 12: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: The Life of Savva Purlevskii

- Goshkov, ed., *A Life Under Russian Serfdom*
- Questions to consider: Was Purlevskii a “typical” serf? Why or why not? How was Purlevskii’s experience similar to or different from the conditions described by Peter Czap on the Mishino estate? What does Purlevskii’s memoir tell us about family relations among the peasantry? What sort of power did masters wield over their serfs, and to what degree? Though unfree, Purlevskii experienced a serfdom very different from the litany of injustices recorded/invented by Radishchev. What is Purlevskii’s critique of the serf system and how did he come to arrive at this critique? What does “freedom” mean to Purlevskii? (5-7 pp)
WEEK 8
- Ascher, *Russia*, 84-90
- Questions to consider: What was Speransky’s vision for reforming Russia? To what extent did this coincide with Alexander I’s own notion of constitutionalism? Why did Speransky fail?

W, Oct 17: 1812 and Russian National Consciousness
- Ascher, *Russia*, 90-101
- Questions to consider: To what emotions does Alexander appeal in his address to the Russian people? What is the Russian nation fighting for in its war against Napoleon? To what institutions, traditions, and values does Alexander ascribe the Russian victory? What is Alexander’s vision of the Russian nation/people?

F, Oct 19: The Decembrist Revolt of 1825
- Ascher, *Russia*, 101-04

WEEK 9
M, Oct 22: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: The Decembrists
- Questions to consider: What is wrong with Russia in 1825, according to the Decembrists? Can it be fixed? If so, how? Where do the Decembrists look for inspiration and possible models to emulate? What elements of Russian society do you think would be most responsive to the kind of changes the Decembrists sought to implement?

- Questions to consider: How did Nicholas I understand his duties and responsibilities as autocrat? By what means (imperial decrees, court ritual, etc.) did he seek to project and make visible the power of the autocrat? What effects did Official Nationality have on Russian intellectual and political life?
F, Oct 26: Slavophiles and Westernizers
- Ascher, *Russia*, 104-15
- Questions to consider: What were the fundamental differences and similarities between the ideas of the Westernizers and the Slavophiles? What rules governed intellectual salon society in the first half of the 19th century?

WEEK 10
M, Oct 29: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: Intellectual life in Nikolaevan Russia
- Questions to consider: Russian historian Nicholas Riasanovsky famously wrote that there was a “parting of the ways” between the Russian state and educated society (obshchestvo) in the second quarter of the nineteenth century. Where and on what issues did the state and educated Russians differ? What kind of competing visions of Russian identity and Russia’s future do we see emerging here? Why was Belinsky so fierce in his criticism of Gogol? What role ought the writer play in Russian society, according to Belinsky, and why did he regard Gogol’s book as such a “dire sin”?

W, Oct 31: Visions of Empire: From Toleration to Russification
- Questions to consider: How did Russian imperial policy toward non-Russian and non-Orthodox minorities evolve from the late eighteenth through the nineteenth centuries? What relation do you see between the evolution of these policies and developments in intellectual and cultural life?

F, Nov. 2: The Crimean Debacle; Prelude to Reform
- Ascher, *Russia*, 115-18
- Questions to consider: What factors accounted for the emergence of the so-called “enlightened bureaucrats” in the first half of the 19th c? What were their visions for reforming Russia and how far did those visions reach?
WEEK 11
M, Nov 5: The Great Reforms
- Ascher, *Russia*, 119-27
- Questions to consider: In terms of scope, tempo, and goals, what comparisons and contrasts can we see between the Petrine reforms and the Great Reforms?

W, Nov 7 Russian Society After the Reforms; The Limits of Reformability
- Ascher, *Russia*, 127-31
- Questions to consider: What does Uspensky see as the principal legacies of serfdom? Would you characterize him as a Slavophile or Westernizer?

F, Nov 9: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: Olga Tian-Shanskaia on the Post-Emancipation Village
- Tian-Shanskaia, *Village Life in Late Imperial Russia*
- Questions to consider: What does Tian-Shanskaia’s study tell us about gender relations in the village? Morality? Social relations? How do the peasants view themselves in relation to the outside world? How does the author’s status as an outsider (not a peasant, not from the village) influence (or distort?) her views of peasant life and culture? What’s wrong with the peasant village? How can it be fixed? (can it?). How did emancipation change preexisting patterns of social, cultural, and economic life in the Russian village? Were peasants better off after 1861 or not? How and why?

WEEK 12
M, Nov 12: VETERANS DAY; NO CLASS

W, Nov 14: The Rise of Radicalism and the Death of an Emperor
- Ascher, *Russia*, 131-42
- Questions to consider: Why does Nechaev title his piece a “catechism”? According to Nechaev, by what principles must a revolutionary live? Why did the members of Narodnaia Volia (the People’s Will) embrace terror as a viable political tactic? What is their vision for Russia?
F. Nov 16: Industrialization and Social Change

- Questions to consider: The son of a peasant, S. I. Kanatchikov (1879-1940) grew up in a rural district of Moscow Province and began working in Moscow factories as a young man. He became involved in the radical underground movement and joined the Bolsheviks in 1905. Imprisoned and exiled to Siberia by the tsarist regime for revolutionary activity, he played an active part in the revolutionary movement and became a mid-level functionary in the Soviet government after 1917. How did the experience of urban life and factory work change Kanatchikov’s life and outlook? How did Kanatchikov regard his fellow workers, how did workers regard their bosses, and how did a sense of class consciousness emerge among the Russian working population? How did the experience of men and women workers differ and in what ways were they similar?

WEEK 13

M, Nov 19: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: The Making of a Radical

- Figner, *Memoirs of a Revolutionist* (focus on the first half of the memoir, through p 171).
- Questions to consider: How did a nice girl from a respectable noble family become a devoted adherent of revolutionary violence? What factors influenced Figner’s own path to revolution? How did Figner and her comrades formulate a moral justification for terror? What characteristics was an ideal revolutionary expected to embody, and how did Figner seek to mold herself in this image?

W, Nov 21 & F, Nov 23: THANKSGIVING BREAK; NO CLASS

WEEK 14

M, Nov 26: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: Russian Thought in the Era of Counter-Reform

- Questions to consider: As tutor to the last two tsars and long-time over-procurator of the Holy Synod (the government body that oversaw the Russian Orthodox Church), K. P. Pobedonostsev (1827-1907) wielded enormous influence in Russian political life in the last decades of the imperial regime. In addition to his political responsibilities, he was a prolific writer, as well, who devoted much of his work to justifying the principles of autocratic government and assailing the arguments of liberal reformers and socialist radicals (who saw Pobedonostsev as the very incarnation of political reaction). As you read this piece, consider the arguments Pobedonostsev puts forward to justify the autocratic system and assail the principles of participatory politics. What similarities do you see between his views and those
expressed in the principles of Official Nationality? How would you characterize Pobedonostsev’s views on European politics, society, and culture at the end of the nineteenth century? According to Pobedonostsev, what principles must Russia embrace (and what principles must Russia reject) in order to be a great power?

W, Nov 28: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: The Life of Anna Vygodskaiia
- Vygodskaiia, Story of a Life
- Questions to consider: How does Vygodskaiia describe the interactions between Jews, Poles, and Russians in the western borderlands of the empire? Why does acquiring an education matter so much to Vygodskaiia? How was Vygodskaiia, a Jewish woman, made to feel an outsider in late-19th c. Russian society? Did she feel herself to be an outsider? How does Vygodskaiia’s memoir help us to fill in the gaps of reconstructing “everyday lives” or “ordinary stories” from imperial Russia? Vera Figner and Anna Vygodskaiia led very different lives and followed very different paths. What similarities and differences do you see between Vygodskaiia’s determination to become a “useful person” and Figner’s?

F, Nov 30: The Crisis of the Autocracy and the Revolution of 1905
- Ascher, Russia, pp 143-57

WEEK 15
M, Dec 3: The Duma Experiment
- Ascher, Russia, 157-66
- Questions to consider: Stolypin described his agrarian reforms as a “wager on the strong.” What did he mean by this? In what ways would Stolypin’s reforms have altered Russian society? Had he lived, would Stolypin and his reforms have saved the autocratic system or presided over its transformation into a different sort of entity altogether?

W, Dec 5: The Great War and the Fall of the Romanov Dynasty
- Ascher, Russia, 166-78

F, Dec 7: DISCUSSION/PAPER OPTION: Chekhov, The Cherry Orchard
- Questions to consider: Since the play’s premiere in 1904, audiences and readers have tended to view the country estate and the characters who inhabit it as representing a microcosm of Russian society in the early twentieth century. Chekhov himself disliked overly political interpretations of his work, however the changes taking place on the estate and in the family seem to mirror larger transformations taking place in Russia society at large. What are these changes? Choose two or three of the characters in the play. How do they cope (or fail to cope) with the socioeconomic and cultural changes taking place in Russia at the end of the imperial period?

FINALS WEEK
W, Dec 12: Final project due by 12pm, noon.