The real future of environmentalism is in rehabilitation and restoration. Environmentalists have told the story of the Garden of Eden and the fall from grace over and over again. But we haven’t yet told the story of redemption. Now we need to tell that story.” • Steven Pyne

COURSE DESCRIPTION

With the increasing ability to use science and technology to manage and restore damaged ecosystems comes a host of ethical and philosophical issues. A central theme in this class is integrating the restoration of ecosystems with the restoration of human communities to create sustainable bioregions and landscapes. We will be looking at developing a “restoration ethic” as a philosophical and moral grounding for this work, with the premise that restoring the earth requires restoring ourselves to the earth in the process. Yet, just because increasingly we can restore ecosystems does not necessarily mean that we should do so in all contexts. Hence the first section of the course reviews central concepts and practices in ecological restoration while introducing class group projects built around collaboration with the Clark Fork Coalition carrying out restoration projects in the Upper Clark Fork River watershed and western Montana. As a class we will examine community dimensions of the restoration process of the Upper Clark Fork watershed in the Deer Lodge Valley under way as part of the Superfund clean up of the Clark Fork River.

The second section of the course examines the ethical dimensions of several current case studies concerning restoration in wilderness to explore the question of whether active restoration in wilderness is ever ethically merited. The final section of the class is an extended philosophical exploration of what counts as “good” restoration, and why. Within this discussion we will look at emerging issues around restoration in the context of novel ecosystems and climate change. Over the course of the semester students will have the opportunity to develop a framework for what counts as good restoration in our changing, dynamic 21st century context.

OBJECTIVES & LEARNING OUTCOMES:

Upon completion of this course, students should be able to:

1. Analyze, critically evaluate, and correctly apply basic ethical concepts and forms of moral reasoning from the field of environmental ethics to contemporary issues and practices in the field of ecological restoration.
2. Think critically about the moral, social and environmental effects of the practices of ecological restoration in diverse social and ecological contexts.
3. Apply moral analysis to a contemporary case study in restoration in the Upper Clark Fork River watershed.
4. Incorporate information literacy into the moral analysis of restoration issues and writing assignments by finding, evaluating, analyzing, and synthesizing information from diverse sources.
5. Write more clearly and effectively about complex ethical issues related to ecological restoration and be able to communicate these issues and multiple perspectives fairly and clearly to diverse audiences.

REQUIRED TEXTS


Readings on Moodle


COURSE SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Class Topic and Assigned Readings</th>
<th>Reading Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction: Key Concepts in Ecological Restoration and Ethics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 8/31 TH 1 | **Introduction to the course with Pat Burke, Bitterroot Restoration**  
(Dan out of town) | |
| 9/5 TU 2 | **What is Ecological Restoration?** | |
| | The SER International Primer on Ecological Restoration **Moodle 1**  
Ch 1: “Overview: Basic Terms and Concepts” **Clewell & Aronson, Moodle 2**  
Ch 2: “Values and Ecological Restoration” **Clewell & Aronson, Moodle 3** | |
| 9/7 TH 3 | **Restoring the Upper Clark Fork: The Work of the Clark Fork Coalition and the Watershed Restoration Coalition** | |
And: [http://deq.mt.gov/Land/fedsuperfund/cfr](http://deq.mt.gov/Land/fedsuperfund/cfr)  
Skim: “2005 State of the Clark Fork: Understanding Our Watershed” **Moodle 5**  
Cassandra Hemphill: “History of Upper Clark Fork Basin Litigation: Background, Status, and Opportunities to Restore the Basin” **Moodle 6** | |
| | **Speaker:**  Will McDowell, Clark Fork Coalition | |
| 9/9 SAT | **Upper Clark Fork Field Trip: Dry Cottonwood Ranch**  
[Leave UM: 7:30 am; Return to UM: 2:00 pm]  
**Speaker: Maggie Schmidt, Ranch Manager, Dry Cottonwood Creek Ranch** | |
| | Read: The Dry Cottonwood Creek Ranch: [https://clarkfork.org/our-work/what-we-do/ranching-agriculture/](https://clarkfork.org/our-work/what-we-do/ranching-agriculture/)  
**Recommended:** “The Focal Politics of the WRC” by Sarah Carvill **Moodle 7** | |
9/12 TU 4  Overview of Ethical Issues in Ecological Restoration

Dan Spencer: “Ethics and Restoration: A Fascinating and Vexing Time”  Moodle 8
Marion Hourdequin: “Ecological Restoration”  Moodle 9
Eric Higgs: “What is Good Ecological Restoration?”  Moodle 10

9/14 TH 5  Key Concepts in Ecological Restoration

Ch 3: “Disturbance and Impairment”  Clewell & Aronson, Moodle 11
Jim Harris & Rudy van Diggelen: “Ecological Restoration as a project for global society”  Moodle 12

Spectrum Exercises on Ecological Restoration

I. Restoration in the Upper Clark Fork Watershed

9/15 Fri  Upper Clark Fork Field Trip: Restoration Project on the Thomas Ranch

9/16 Sat  Superfund Sites Field Trip in the Upper Clark Fork Watershed
[Leave Friday 1 pm; return Saturday 6 pm; overnight at Thomas Ranch]

9/19 TU 6  Restoration of the Confluence of the Blackfoot & Clark Fork Rivers Field Trip to Milltown Dam Overview Site: Leave UM 3:30 pm; return 6:00 pm

Milltown Dam News Overview January 2010  Moodle 17
(www.cftac.org/images/pdf/january2010/dam_news_09_single_panel.pdf)
The Three R’s of the Milltown Reservoir Superfund Project  Moodle 18
(www.cftac.org/061009b.html)
The Other End of the Dam Project (www.cftac.org/061009d.html)  Moodle 19
YouTube video: Breaching the Dam:  https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ISLInzprz3M

Speaker: Mike Kustudia, Manager, Milltown State Park

9/21 TH 7  What We Restore in Ecological Restoration

Ch 4: “Recovery”  Clewell & Aronson, Moodle 14
Ch 5: “Ecological Attributes of Restored Ecosystems”  Clewell & Aronson, Moodle 15
Ch 6: “Semicultural Landscapes and Ecosystems”  Clewell & Aronson, Moodle 16

5:10 pm: Liz Carlisle, Lentil Underground, UC Ballroom
II. RESTORATION IN WILDERNESS & INDIGENOUS PERSPECTIVES

9/26 TU 8 **Ethical Dilemmas in Restoration in Wilderness**

Peter Landres et al: “Naturalness and Wildness: The Dilemma and Irony of Ecological Restoration in Wilderness”

Peter Landres: “Let It Be: A Hands-Off Approach to Preserving Wildness in Protected Areas”

Gregory Aplet & David Cole: “The Trouble with Naturalness: Rethinking Park and Wilderness Goals”

The Wilderness Act of 1964

*Sign up for Restoration in Wilderness Case Studies*

9/28 TH 9 **Holistic and Reciprocal Restoration: TEK and Indigenous Ways of Knowing**

Robin Kimmerer: “Restoration and Reciprocity: The Contributions of Traditional Ecological Knowledge”


*10/3 TU 10 Cultural and Ecological Restoration on the Flathead Reservation*

Selections from *Explore the River: Bull Trout, Tribal People, and The Jocko River*

**Speakers:** Germaine White, Information and Education, and Rusty Sydnor, Wetland/Riparian Restoration Specialist, Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes

**Field Trip** to Jocko River: Leave UM at 3:30 pm; return by 6:30 pm

10/5 TH 11 **Wilderness Management and Restoration**

Beth Hahn, Peter Landres, Eric Biber, Dan Spencer “Asking the Right Questions: Integrating Law, Science, and Ethics to Support Decisions about Ecological Intervention In Wilderness” [Draft]


*10/10 TU 12 Restoration and Wilderness: Case Study I in Managing for Wildness and Naturalness in Wilderness: Fisheries restoration in the Stephen Mather Wilderness, North Cascades National Park, WA*

Readings: On Moodle

Facilitators:

(Paper due: 10/17)
*10/12 TH 13 Restoration and Wilderness: Case Study II in Managing for Wildness and Naturalness in Wilderness: Whitebark Pine Restoration in Wilderness

Readings: On Moodle
Facilitators:
(Paper due: 10/19)

*10/17 TU 14 Restoration and Wilderness: Case Study III in Managing for Wildness and Naturalness in Wilderness: Herbicide application on non-native plants in Saguaro National Park, AZ

Readings: On Moodle
Facilitators:
(Paper due: 10/26)

Essay on the Ethics of Restoration in Wilderness due in class for 10/10 Facilitators

III. ETHICAL ISSUES & DEBATES IN ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION

10/19 TH 15 Restoration in an Age of Novel Ecosystems?

Brian Starzomski: Novel ecosystems and climate change
Andrew Light, Allen Thompson, Eric Higgs: Valuing novel ecosystems
Rachel Standish, et al.: Concerns about novel ecosystems
Richard Hobbs, Eric Higgs, Carol Hall: What do we know about, and what do we do about, novel ecosystems?

See also the Student Companion Site: www.wiley.com/go/hobbs/ecosystems

Speaker: Cara Nelson, College of Forestry and Conservation

Essay on the Ethics of Restoration in Wilderness due in class for 10/12 Facilitators

*10/24 TU 16 Early Critics: Restoration as Faking Nature?

Robert Elliot: “Faking Nature”

Facilitators:
(Paper due: 10/31)

Essay on the Ethics of Restoration in Wilderness due in class for 10/17 Facilitators
**10/26 TH 17** Responses to Elliot and Katz: Benevolent vs. Malicious Restoration

Andrew Light: “Ecological Restoration and the Culture of Nature: A Pragmatic Perspective”

Steven Vogel: “On the Nature of Artifacts”

Facilitators:
(Paper due: 11/2)

**10/31 TU 18** Early Debates on Restoration vs. Preservation

Read articles in this order:

William Jordan: “Sunflower Forest”: Ecological Restoration as the Basis for a New Environmental Paradigm”

G. Stanley Kane: “Restoration or Preservation? Reflections on a Clash of Environmental Philosophies”

William Jordan: “Weeding Key Biscayne”

Facilitators:
(Paper due: 11/7)

Essay on Early Critics due in class for 10/24 Facilitators

11/2 TH 19 What is Good Restoration? Introduction to Higgs’ *Nature by Design*

Introduction to *Nature By Design* (pp. 1-14)

Ch. 1: A Tale of Two Wildernesses: Jasper National Park, Meet Disney World

Ch. 2: Boundary Conditions (skim 59-75)

Essay on Early Critics due in class for 10/26 Facilitators

11/7 TU 20 Defining Ecological Restoration and The Question of History in Restoration

Ch. 3: What is Ecological Restoration?

Ch. 4: Historicity and Reference in Ecological Restoration

Essay on Early Critics due in class for 10/31 Facilitators

11/9 TH 21 The Risks of Commodification & Professionalization

Ch. 5: Denaturing Restoration

11/14 TU 22 Community Participation in Restoration: Focal Restoration and Wild Design

Ch. 6: Focal Restoration & Ch. 7: Nature by Design

K. Suding et al., “Committing to Ecological Restoration”
Recommended: Borgmann, “Focal Practices”  

Reflection Papers on Group Restoration Projects due in class

11/16 TH 23  **Restoration, Politics & Justice I: Political Ecology & Ecological Citizenship**  
Andrew Light & Eric Higgs: “The Politics of Ecological Restoration”  
Andrew Light: “Ecological Citizenship: The Democratic Promise of Restoration”  
John C. Bliss and A. Paige Fischer: “Toward a Political Ecology of Ecosystem Restoration”

11/21 TU 24  **Restoration, Politics & Justice II: Urban Restoration & Justice**  
Colette Palamar: “From the Ground up: Why Urban Ecological Restoration Needs Environmental Justice”  
Michelle Garvey: “Novel Ecosystems, Familiar Injustices: The Promise of Justice-Oriented Ecological Restoration”  
Colette Palamar: “Restorashyn: Ecofeminist Restoration”

11/24 TH  **Thanksgiving Break: No Classes**

IV. **RESTORATION IN AN AGE OF NOVEL ECOSYSTEMS & CLIMATE CHANGE**

*11/28 TU 25  **Restoration in an Age of Climate Change: I**  
Allen Thompson and Jeremy Bendik-Keymer: Introduction: Adapting Humanity  
Ned Hettinger: Nature Restoration as a Paradigm for the Human Relationship with Nature

*Due in class: Higgs Critical Response Essay*

*11/20 TH 26  **Restoration in an Age of Climate Change: II**  
William Throop: Environmental Virtues and the Aims of Restoration  
Ronald Sandler: Global Warming and Virtues of Ecological Restoration

*Class Facilitators:*

*12/5 TU 27  **Restoration in an Age of Climate Change: III**  
Eric Higgs: History, Novelty, and Virtue in Ecological Restoration  
Andrew Light: The Death of Restoration?

*Class Facilitators:*
*12/7 TH 28  Restoration in an Age of Climate Change: IV

Breena Holland: Environment as Meta-capability: Why a Dignified Human Life Requires a Stable Climate System  
Ch. 7, EACC

David Schlossberg: Justice, Ecological Integrity, and Climate Change  
Ch. 8, EACC

Recommended: Josef Keulartz & Jac. A. A. Swart: “Animal Flourish and Capabilities in an Era of Global Change”  
Ch. 6, EACC

Class Facilitators:

*12/12 TU 29  Wrap up & Discussion: What Makes an Ethic of Good Restoration?

Paul Hirsch & Bryan Norton: Thinking Like a Planet  
Ch. 16, EACC

Final Exam Period: Friday, December 15, 3:20—5:20 pm

Due: Final Take Home Exam Essay

WEIGHT AND TIMELINE OF ASSIGNMENTS

The total number of points available for class assignments is 500 points. In order of due dates, the assignments are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>points</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>due date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Wilderness Restoration Case Study Essay</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Oct 17, 19, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Class Facilitated Essays</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Oct 31, Nov 2, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Higgs Critical Response Essay</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Nov 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Group Projects on Restoration at Dry Cottonwood Creek &amp; Thomas Ranches &amp; Individual Reflection Papers</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Nov 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Take-Home Final Exam Essay</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Dec 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: final grades will use pluses and minuses.

1. Ethical Analysis of a Case Study in Restoration in Wilderness: Class Facilitation and Reflective Essay. Each class member will have the opportunity to work in a small group to facilitate class discussion on a case study involving restoration in wilderness, using a framework by Peter Landres of the Aldo Leopold Wilderness Research Institute. The case studies and instructions for the class facilitation will be given in class. The dates for the case studies are Tuesday, October 10, Thursday, October 12, and Tuesday, October 17. Each student will then write up a 6-8 page reflective essay on her/his case, reflecting on and analyzing the ethical dimensions of the case, and making a recommendation for how best to resolve it. Specific instructions will be given in class. Essay due in class on Tuesday, October 17, Thursday, October 19, or Tuesday, October 24.

2. Facilitation of Class Discussion and Critical Response Essay: Each student will have the opportunity to facilitate class discussion of one set of article(s) assigned for Section III of the
class: “Ethical Issues and Debates in Ecological Restoration.” The class should be organized as a discussion of the texts, not a presentation. You will then write a critical response paper to the article(s) discussed in that class. Your paper should contain (a) a clear summary exposition of the main points of the reading(s) (3-4 pages) as well as (b) your thoughtful assessment of and response to the materials and ideas presented in the text (3-4 pages). (Total Length: 6-8 pages, double-spaced), Due in class Tuesday, October 31, Thursday, November 2 or Thursday, November 7.

3. Critical Response Essay Eric Higgs’ Nature By Design: A 8-10 page critical response essay to the primary course text, Eric Higgs’ book, Nature by Design: People, Natural Process, and Ecological Restoration. The first part of this essay should be a thoughtful exposition (i.e. summary and explanation) of the overall argument and main themes from the book with 1-2 paragraphs dedicated to each chapter (Note: this should be a well-written, flowing essay, not a compilation of chapter summaries. Develop Higgs’s argument to reflect how he does this in the book). The second section should be your response to the issues Higgs raises and argues about what counts as good restoration, and why: where do you agree with Higgs, disagree, and why? Does Higgs provide a helpful framework for assessing the ethical dimensions of ecological restoration? Where is his framework most helpful, least helpful, and where could it or should it be developed further or differently? Due in class, Tuesday, November 28.

NOTE: Your audience for the papers in 1, 2, & 3 is someone who has not read these texts. This means your exposition section must be clear and sufficiently well-developed so that the position and arguments of the author(s) would be clear to someone who has not read them, and would satisfy the author of a fair representation of his or her arguments.

4. Small Group Projects: The entire class will partner with the Clark Fork Coalition in their restoration and monitoring work on the Dry Cottonwood Ranch south of Deer Lodge and the Thomas Ranch on Gold Creek. We will meet to get an overview of the Dry Cottonwood Creek Ranch and their restoration and monitoring work with Maggie Schmidt on Saturday, Sept 9th in the morning. Small group teams will then be expected to carry out their individual projects on one of the additional field days scheduled for Fri-Sat [Dates TBD] in September and October. More information about the structure of the projects will be given in class.

Following your experience(s) on the Dry Cottonwood Creek Ranch and/or the Thomas Ranch write a short (3-4 page) reflection essay where you do three things: (1) Describe briefly what you did for your project(s) related to the Dry Cottonwood Creek / Thomas Ranch; (2) Discuss any insights about Restoration you gained from the project and/or time spent on the DCCR; (3) Reflect on the quality of the Restoration (ecological, social, cultural) being done there in light of our conversations to this point of the semester about what counts for good restoration and why. To what extent can we see the work being done on the DCCR as an example of good restoration, and why? Due in class Tue, Nov 14 (flexible deadline depending on when projects are finished).

5. Class Facilitation of readings from Ethical Adaptation to Climate Change: These three classes will each focus on a different section of the text, Ethical Adaptation to Climate Change. Student teams will facilitate each class. In preparing for class, assume that everyone has read the chapters carefully, so you do not need to present on the content of the chapters. Rather, facilitate a critical conversation on the key themes and concepts, and what contributions, if any, they make to the discussion of what counts as good ecological restoration and why. Plan to have a structured facilitation for the first 20-25 minutes, and then open discussion of the readings from there.
6. **TAKE-HOME FINAL EXAM ESSAY:**

**Option A:** Throughout the semester, we have considered ethical issues in ecological restoration through two primary lenses: (1) the philosophical question, “What is good ecological restoration?” posed most acutely by philosopher Eric Higgs (and posed by others as “Can restoration even be good?”); (2) the on-the-ground restoration planning and projects, both in Wilderness, and in the Clark Fork watershed being stimulated by the Superfund cleanup of the Clark Fork River and the community planning being spearheaded by the Clark Fork Coalition and other organizations involved in restoration.

In light of this semester-long conversation, write an 8-10 page essay (double-spaced) addressing these three questions:

1. “What kind of restoration ethic is needed to guide ecological restoration efforts, and why?”
2. “What difference, if any, do emerging factors of climate change and novel ecosystems make for developing a restoration ethic, and why?”
3. “Is the restoration and clean up process ongoing in the Clark Fork watershed an example of good restoration? Why or why not?”

In your essay, please draw on several of the assigned readings as “conversation partners” in developing your response, as well as insights gained from class discussions, field trips, speakers and any additional reading you have done. In your answer, please consider and integrate responses to these questions:

- Which authors have been most helpful to you in formulating your ethic, which most problematic, and why?
- What are the strengths and weaknesses, problems and potential, of ongoing restoration in the Clark Fork watershed, such as the Superfund clean up of the Clark Fork River in the Deer Lodge valley and the work of the Clark Fork Coalition on the Dry Cottonwood Ranch?
- What are the most important insights you have gained about restoration from this semester-long immersion?

**Option B:** Throughout the semester, we have considered the philosophical question, “What is good ecological restoration?” We have looked at this question through several lenses, especially through Eric Higgs’s text, *Nature By Design*. For this essay, use Higgs’s text as a departure point to investigate and analyze in greater depth an issue you believe is central to the question of good ecological restoration, but that Higgs either does not address, or addresses inadequately. Your paper should not be a rehashing of the issues in Higgs or your response paper to Higgs, but rather a new and more in-depth examination of other dimensions you believe need to be factored into the question of what counts for good ecological restoration, and why. Draw on other authors (including those we read in class or others you bring in), to help develop your analysis. What I am looking for in this essay is your ability to take the conversations and readings we have engaged this semester about good ecological restoration as a starting point that you move beyond and address a new or additional issue(s) in greater depth. Your final essay should be 8-10 pages, double-spaced. Please clear your topic with me prior to writing it.

Bring your completed essay to our classroom during the final exam period, **Friday, December 15, 3:20—5:20 pm**, where each of you will have the opportunity to share a synopsis of what you have written. Baked goodies to share with your classmates are also appropriate at this time. 😊

**CLASS POLICIES:**

**Note Re Papers:** Papers are due in class **on or before** the date listed in the syllabus. Unless you have made a prior agreement with me, I will take off one grade level (A becomes A-) for each class day an
assignment is late. Papers with an undue number of errors of punctuation, spelling, or grammar will be marked down and may be returned ungraded for correction. Written work will be evaluated in terms of your depth of critical analysis, thoughtfulness of reflection, clarity of writing, and ability to address issues raised in the text and in class on the topic at hand. Grades given reflect the following criteria of judgment:

- **F**: Failure to meet minimum requirements
- **D**: Unsatisfactory, but some effort to meet minimum requirements
- **C**: Satisfactory; meets minimum requirements of assignment but not much more
- **B**: Good to Very Good: thoughtful reflection, good analysis, clear writing style
- **A**: Excellent depth of critical analysis, thoughtfulness of reflection, and writing style; demonstrate creativity and mature analytical skills in going beyond the primary requirements of the assignment

**Attendance**: Regular attendance and participation in the class is expected. More than three (3) absences will result in losing any benefit of the doubt on your final grade. More than four (4) absences will result in one grade reduction. More than five (5) absences will result in a failing course grade. Late arrivals in class will count as an absence. (Note: If you have a valid reason for missing several classes, such as illness or other conflicting commitments, you still must speak with the instructor).

**Academic Dishonesty and Plagiarism**: All work submitted is expected to be the student's own. Any acts of plagiarism or academic dishonesty will result in automatic failure of the course, and may result in further academic punishment. If you have any doubts about definitions of plagiarism or academic dishonesty, please review the relevant sections of the University Catalog.

**Students with Disabilities**: The University of Montana assures equal access to instruction through collaboration between students with disabilities, instructors, and Disability Services for Students. If you think you may have a disability adversely affecting your academic performance, and you have not already registered with Disability Services, please contact Disability Services in Lommason Center 154 or 406.243.2243. I will work with you and Disability Services to provide an appropriate modification. I am in the process of converting all of the materials in the class to an accessible format. If you find any course materials are not in an accessible format for you, please let me know and I will work with DSS to change the format.

**Important University Policies, Dates and Deadlines**

For Important Dates and University policies, check Cyberbear at http://cyberbear.umt.edu/

**Incomplete Grade**: Please see the criteria that must be met for an Incomplete in the University Catalog. No exceptions will be made for these criteria.

**Moodle**: Course assignments and readings are also posted on Moodle, which may be accessed at [https://moodle.umt.edu](https://moodle.umt.edu)
Readings on Moodle, ENST 570_01, Fall 2017
Ethical Issues in Ecological Restoration


18. The Three R’s of the Milltown Reservoir Superfund Project (www.cfrtac.org/061009b.html)

19. The Other End of the Dam Project (www.cfrtac.org/061009d.html)


28. Selections from *Explore the River: Bull Trout, Tribal People, and The Jocko River*. Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes, I&E, FRWC, Natural Resource Department, CSKT, PO Box 278, Pablo, MT, 59855.


